UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/694,038 | 10/27/2003 | Kevin T. O'Dougherty | N95.12-0015 | 3887 |
| 7590 02/11/2008 William F. Ryann | | | EXAMINER | |
| ATMI, Inc. 7 Commerce Drive | | | PRICE, CRAIG JAMES | |
| Danbury, CT 06 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 3753 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/694,038 | O'DOUGHERTY ET AL. | | | | |
| merview dammary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | CRAIG PRICE | 3753 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>CRAIG PRICE</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Paul Koziol</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 January 2008. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Van den Bergen et al. (6,048,113)</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agrees to withdraw the 112 rejections. The prior art was discussed in regards to the limitation of the fluid return channel as being "formed on an exterior", Mr. Koziol believes the limitation overcomes this reference and the examiner discussed as to how the channel is positioned such that it is formed on the exterior surface. | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | //Ramesh Krishnamurthy// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3 | 753 | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is at Attachment to a signed Office action. | | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | rview Summary | Paper No. 20080131 | | | | |